UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,373	09/17/2003	Michael Charles Raufman	7792C	4564
	7590 12/22/200 R & GAMBLE COMP	EXAM	INER	
Global Legal Department - IP			KIDWELL, MICHELE M	
Sycamore Building - 4th Floor 299 East Sixth Street CINCINNATI, OH 45202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3761	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

#### RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

,\_\_\_\_\_

Ex parte MICHAEL CHARLES RAUFMAN and CARMIE S. MALONEY

\_\_\_\_\_

Appeal No. 2008-1321 Application 10/664,373 Technology Center 3700

.....

Oral Hearing Held: November 6, 2008

Before TONI R. SCHEINER, ERIC GRIMES and FRANCISCO C. PRATS, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

### ON BEHALF OF THE APPELLANTS:

CHARLES MATSON, ESQUIRE The Procter & Gamble Company Sycamore Building Fourth Floor 299 East Sixth Street Cincinnati, Ohio 45202

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, November 6, 2008, commencing at 9:37 a.m., at the offices of the U.S. Patent and Trademark, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, before Mario A. Rodriguez, CMRS, CCR No. 0315162, Notary Public.

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE SCHEINER: You can sit up at the table so we can see you.
3	MR. MATSON: Can I start?
4	JUDGE SCHEINER: Would you like to introduce your colleague for
5	the record?
6	MR. MATSON: Sure. This is Andy Faust with Procter & Gamble
7	Company.
8	JUDGE SCHEINER: Yes, whenever you're ready. You have 20
9	minutes.
10	MR. MATSON: Thank you for your time this morning.
11	This case is related to disposable absorbent articles, and more
12	particularly to absorbent articles with side panels having images disposed
13	thereon.
14	The claims independent claim of the pending application recites in
15	particular a disposable absorbent article having first and second waist
16	regions comprising among other elements wherein the side panels a pair
17	of side panels extend outwardly from the second waist region. And they
18	have securement elements on them that allow them to engage the first waist
19	region.
20	The claims also recite a predetermined ornamental visual image
21	consisting of a first image element joined to one of the side panels and a
22	second image element joined to the other side panel.
23	JUDGE SCHEINER: So the claim overall is comprising, but that the
24	image consists of the two
25	

1	MR. MATSON: That's right. The ornamental visual image consists
2	of a first element and a second image element.
3	It also says that when the side panels are secured to the first waist
4	region, the first and second elements complete the predetermined ornamental
5	visual image.
6	JUDGE SCHEINER: May I interrupt?
7	MR. MATSON: Yup.
8	JUDGE SCHEINER: Is that image purely ornamental or does it have
9	a function, and is that discussed in the specification?
10	MR. MATSON: Yes, it's for alignment purposes so you know you
11	put the thing on correctly.
12	JUDGE SCHEINER: Okay.
13	MR. MATSON: The examiner has rejected the claims under 102 over
14	patent number 6,045,543 which I'll refer to as Pozniak.
15	Now, Pozniak discloses an absorbent article with fastener tabs, and it
16	has indicia on the fastener tabs and also has indicia on the waist region.
17	Okay?
18	And the purpose of the indicia are to allow a user to align properly,
19	laterally or inwardly, the placement of the fastener tabs on the waist region.
20	As such, Pozniak discloses three areas of the article that would
21	comprise or that comprise indicia, and that's in contrast of what the claim is
22	which, again, we have this visual image that consists of a first image element
23	and a second image element.
24	"Consisting of," as you know, is a closed transitional term and it
25	excludes unrecited additional components.

1	JUDGE SCHEINER: What if you were to bring the tabs on the
2	Pozniak together, wouldn't you still have a continuous image if they were to
3	meet in the middle?
4	MR. MATSON: What would be the image?
5	JUDGE SCHEINER: The parallel lines.
6	MR. MATSON: If they were to meet in the middle?
7	JUDGE SCHEINER: If the two tabs were to overlap.
8	MR. MATSON: That would not I would argue that that is not a
9	predetermined visual image that consists of a first image element and a
10	second image element.
11	JUDGE SCHEINER: Why wouldn't it be a visual image?
12	MR. MATSON: Because it's predetermined. Connotates an image
13	that is decided beforehand. There is, for instance, a basketball or a ball that
14	you're going to put together. Putting the tabs together on Pozniak, I would
15	argue, doesn't provide you with a predetermined visual image as is in the
16	claim.
17	JUDGE SCHEINER: Does your specification define predetermined
18	visual image?
19	MR. MATSON: No, it doesn't define predetermined visual image.
20	JUDGE SCHEINER: I think Pozniak says somewhere that the lines
21	can be different colors and they are used for alignment purposes, so I'm
22	trying to understand why that wouldn't putting those two tabs together
23	wouldn't produce a complete image.
24	MR. MATSON: I guess I would fall back to the claim recites a
25	predetermined visual image. Predetermined is decided beforehand.

1 Pozniak recites or discloses the ability to put fastener tabs down on 2 your waist region in various arrangements. 3 One of the arrangements, I suppose, is perhaps you could push the 4 things together. Is that really a predetermined visual element? I don't think 5 it is. I mean, the visual element is --6 JUDGE SCHEINER: The lines would match up though, correct? 7 MR. MATSON: They could. 8 JUDGE SCHEINER: When it's aligned correctly? 9 MR. MATSON: Yeah. 10 JUDGE SCHEINER: Okay. I understand the point you're making. 11 MR. MATSON: Okay. 12 With regard to what the examiner's -- or at least the error that we 13 believe the examiner is making is her reliance on Pozniak to say that she 14 says that the middle is relied to show the completion of the predetermined 15 ornamental visual image. 16 JUDGE SCHEINER: Right, and your position would be that the --17 MR. MATSON: You're using three areas. 18 JUDGE SCHEINER: To complete -- the tabs themselves have to 19 complete the image. MR. MATSON: Right. That's all I have. 20 21 JUDGE SCHEINER: I think we understand that clarification. 22 MR. MATSON: It's not terribly complex. 23 JUDGE SCHEINER: No, it's not. Did you have anything further? 24 25 JUDGE PRATS: No.

## Appeal 2008-1321 Application 10/664,373

1	JUDGE GRIMES: No.
2	JUDGE SCHEINER: Thank you for coming in.
3	MR. MATSON: I'll be back, I guess, next month.
4	JUDGE SCHEINER: Would you like to just stay? You're arguing
5	the next case as well.
6	MR. MATSON: Maybe we should double-check with the clerk, but
7	I'm pretty sure I'm next.
8	JUDGE SCHEINER: Well, yes.
9	MR. MATSON: For Procter & Gamble.
10	JUDGE SCHEINER: Yes, we have you I understand that there was
11	another case that you didn't receive.
12	MR. MATSON: Yeah. I don't know it was my case too. I
13	thought
14	JUDGE SCHEINER: We don't expect you to be ready to argue it.
15	MR. MATSON: Good. Because I looked at it and I didn't recognize
16	it at the time.
17	JUDGE SCHEINER: We don't expect you to argue that. We'll
18	reschedule with you on that.
19	MR. MATSON: That will be great.
20	JUDGE SCHEINER: So someone will contact you to reschedule. I'm
21	sorry you have to come back again.
22	MR. MATSON: That's okay.
23	(Whereupon, the proceedings at 9:48 a.m. were concluded.)
24	
25	